



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/601,332	06/20/2003	Ubaldo Mastromatteo	2110-46-3	6985		
7	7590 12/30/2005	EXAMINER				
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP Suite 350 155-108th Avenue N.E. Bellevue, WA 98004-5973			HEINZ, ALLEN J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2653			
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/200	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/601,332		MASTROMATTEO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		A. J. HEINZ		2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event ration. ry period will apply and will e by statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this coorsists U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			•				
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 and 23-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,17-22</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•		•					
Attachmen	t(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 r No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332

Art Unit: 2653

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The Title should provide a more detailed structural identification of the feature or features which distinguish the invention from the prior art.

The intended results produced by the structural differences can also be part of the content of the Title but should be made subordinate to the structural differences.

2. Claims 4&5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the instant claims, what "ends" or "respective end" are the claims referring to...an 'arch' does not necessarily have an end or ends.

An exhaustive search of indefinite and/or ambiguous language has not been attempted, but only exemplified in the preceding paragraphs. Therefore the applicant is responsible for a thorough review of all the claims to make corrections as appropriate.

Art Unit: 2653

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6,7,9,17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Brosnihan.

See Figures 1,3&4. Note, to the extent claimed and understood, the structure as shown in Addendum A reads on and performs to the same degree as claimed.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332 Art Unit: 2653

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8,20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Brosnihan or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Brosnihan.

Brosnihan discloses, such as in col.3, line 37, that his disclosed micro-electro mechanical device is exemplarily provided for use as an microactuator and therefore would include all the auxiliary elements directed to a disk drive structure.

It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Brosnihan micro-electro mechanical device in a disk drive.

Rationale: the use of a subcombination invention in other combinations is simply an alternative purpose and therefore are readily substitutable equivalents.

Re Claims 20; as indicated by applicant in his remarks, the different geometrical configurations amount to alternative configurations which do not need to be illustrated; therefore

Art Unit: 2653

these alternative configurations are held to be obvious modifications to the basic concept which has been disclosed by Brosnihan.

- 7. Claims 4&5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Novotny and Sakai show other configurations of microelectro mechanical devices.

9. For a complete response applicant should identify how the claimed structure of his invention defines over **all** the art of record.

Moreover, where the applicant disagrees with the reasoning and/or application of the prior art on critical points of the claims, they should identify how the claimed structure of their invention defines over **all** the art of record not just the applied art.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332 Art Unit: 2653

Where applicant believes that the art is redundant and/or superfluous relative to the critical aspects of the claimed invention the applicant may simply state so in rebuttal summary.

- 10. If applicant has filed an information disclosure statement and has not received an office action that contain an initialed-off copy (or copies) of all such filed IDS's (or at least a comment to the disposition of such IDS'S in the body of the office action itself) applicant should apprise the examiner of such missing documentation [to the IDS's] in response to this office action so that the examiner can take appropriate action to supply same to the applicant.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Note, see paragraph 7 of the first office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-7587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM KORZUCH can be reached on (571)272-7589

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. J. HEINZ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653 Page 7

